

**Enhancing Critical Thinking And Problem Solving Skills By Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning Model |
Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research**

Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research

Volume 14, Issue 1, 15th February, 2024, Pages 96 □ 114

The Author(s) 2024

<http://dx.doi.org/10.17583/remie.9409>

Enhancing Critical Thinking And Problem Solving Skills By Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning Model

Finga Fitri Amanda, Sutiman Bambang Sumitro, Sri Rahayu Lestari & Ibrohim

Abstract

Critical thinking and problem-solving are fundamental skills that students need to master. Various learning obstacles that occur during the pandemic of Covid-19 have hindered critical thinking and problem-solving skills training. This study aimed to enhance students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills through Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning (CS-PBL) model. The research applied quasi-experimental with Nonequivalent Pre-test-Post test Control Group Design. The research sample consisted of 27 students in the experimental CS-PBL group, 29 students in the PBL group, and 26 students in the direct learning group. The instrument used in the research was an essay test of critical thinking integrated with problem-solving. Data were analyzed using ANCOVA followed by an LSD test. The results showed that the CS-PBL model enhanced students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, the CS-PBL model is applicable to facilitate the enhancement of critical thinking and problem-solving skills in the post covid-19 pandemic.

Keywords

Complexity science, cs-pbl, critical thinking, problem based learning, problem-solving.

In the last decade, studies related to high school and college students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills have attracted the attention of education experts. Critical thinking and problem-solving skills are considered essential skills that need to be mastered (Bezanilla et al., 2021; Rott, 2020). Various research was conducted to enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills, yet those are still the educational problems in Indonesia. The 2018 PISA result showed that the academic skills of Indonesian students in problem-solving were low compared to other countries. Docktor et al., (2015) implied that most students' problem-solving skills were low. They even experienced difficulties at the early stages of planning the solutions.

Problem-solving skills can help students overcome everyday problems, including health problems (Hernández et al., 2021; Yuliana et al., 2020). Health problem is a complex problem involving various factors such as environment, socio-culture, behavior, and economy (Fortunka, 2020; Stylianou et al., 2016; Suk et al., 2016). Various fields are needed to find the right solution to solve the health problems. Complex problem-solving requires high-order thinking skills involving analyzing, evaluating problems, and applying various knowledge and skills as the fundamental of problem-solving (Doleck et al., 2017; Peter, 2012).

The observation was conducted during the Human and Animal Physiology class. The learning process in the normal situation before the Covid-19 pandemic was directed to critical thinking skills, communication, and collaboration applied in various methods, such as Problem-Based Learning (PBL). Their PBL implementation was insufficient to apply

problem-solving involving a variety of fields of knowledge. It could not connect the solution and context of the problem, resulting in unapplicable problem-solving solutions in everyday life. The students' presented problem-solving usually apply monodiscipline (Kusumawati, 2012). The main characteristic of the PBL model is to train students' thinking skills involving various fields to produce and develop practical solutions for everyday life problems (Braßler, 2016). Safrina et al., (2015) and Wulandari et al., (2014) reported that the problems given during the Problem-Based Learning model are close-ended, making students only provide answers focused on one discipline. This is not in line with one of the principles of PBL that gets various variables connected to each other (Ge et al., 2016; Tawfik et al., 2018). Basically, the problem given in the PBL should be a real-life problem that is complex, unstructured, and has various perspectives (Ge et al., 2016; Tawfik et al., 2018). Problem-solving in PBL should contain various solutions that students could create from the given problems (Hoffmann & Borenstein, 2014; Simanjuntak et al., 2021). Information needed in PBL is partially given to develop solutions (Chin & Chia, 2006).

Since the government declared the Covid-19 pandemic national health emergency, the number of people gathered in public places has been limited. The limitation also applies in the universities as students are encouraged to stay at home to isolate themselves (Martinho et al., 2021; Sobaih et al., 2020). The enforced self-isolation limits in-person learning (Garad et al., 2021; Hasan & Bao, 2020) leading to the implementation of distance learning (Coman et al., 2020; Soni, 2020). Distance learning is a form of e-learning since it uses various virtual meetings applications, such as ZOOM, Google Classroom, Moodle, and Blackboard (Dube, 2020; El-Seoud et al., 2014).

Education researchers highlight weaknesses in distance learning, such as the lack of lecturer's experience in applying distance learning (Mishra et al., 2020). The learning process involving electronic media without intensive accompaniment makes students struggle to understand the content material (Wilujeng et al., 2020). Students' difficulties in understanding the content material are caused by the lack of face-to-face contact with lecturers and fellow students (El-Seoud et al., 2014). The situation showed that students could not participate effectively in e-learning. Survey studies conducted in the period of Covid-19 in India (Singh et al., 2020), Pakistan (Abbasi et al., 2020), Nepal (Nepal et al., 2020), Yordania (Al-Balas et al., 2020), and Libya (Alsoufi et al., 2020), discovered that most students expressed dissatisfaction during online learning.

Face-to-face learning after the Covid-19 pandemic is one of the government's ways to reduce negative impacts during the learning process during the pandemic. From the observation, it was found that the learning process of the Human and Animal Physiology class during the pandemic was conducted through video conference for ± 15 minutes/credit. The limited duration caused learning activity and material reinforcement suboptimal. It affected students' problem-solving skills. Students' answers in problem-solving were focused only on biology, and they had not been comprehensive and irrational to apply in everyday life.

Facts in the field after covid-19, the implementation of lectures uses a hybrid learning system that still uses video conferencing. As a result, learning activities are less than optimal so that it has an impact on students' critical thinking and problem solving abilities. The low ability of students to produce rational solutions requires the application of learning models in the post covid-19 pandemic to help students train their ability to solve problems comprehensively and produce solutions that can be applied in everyday life. One of the learning models expected to enhance critical thinking and problem-solving skills during the post covid-19 pandemic is Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning (CS-PBL). It was developed based on the basic principles of the Complexity Science approach and Problem-Based Learning.

The Complexity Science (CS) approach helps students think to study and understand a problem from various points of view (Anderson et al., 2005; O'Sullivan, 2004). The approach uses the basic principle of interdisciplinary using various disciplines such as biology,

socio-economic, and culture (Turner & Baker, 2019). CS approach has the same principles as PBL, where both study contextual problems and analyze problems comprehensively (Jacobson et al., 2019; Mennin, 2007). The given problem can help students apply their knowledge of one discipline to enhance their understanding of other disciplines (Seibert, 2021). The integration of the CS approach into PBL can train students' high-order thinking process in solving problems using various disciplines, specifically in the complex field of health (Gewurtz et al., 2016; Miner-Romanoff et al., 2019).

One of the techniques to facilitate students in analyzing a problem and find problem-solving ideas is the mind map. It can connect ideas and link concepts related to the studied material (Astriani et al., 2020; Qing-Ke et al., 2019). The mind map can support the implementation of the CS approach since it shows how the network is connected (Davis & Simmt, 2003). This research aimed to enhance students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills through the Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning (CS-PBL) model in the post Covid-19 pandemic.

Methodology

The research is quasi-experimental with a nonequivalent pretest-posttest control group design (Best & Kahn, 2006). The research sample consisted of 3 classes of students in the Biology Department, Faculty of mathematics and science, class of 2019, who took Human and Animal Physiology class. The samples were selected with the random sampling technique. They consisted of 27 students in the experimental CS-PBL group, 29 students in the positive control group treated with PBL, and 26 students in the control negative group treated with direct learning. The CS-PBL, PBL, and direct learning were the independent variables, while the critical thinking and problem-solving skills were the dependent variables. The research design is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Design of the study

Pretest	Treatment	Posttest
O ₁	CS-PBL	O ₂
O ₃	PBL	O ₄
O ₅	Direct Learning	O ₆

Supporting learning instruments, such as Semester Plan (RPS), lesson plan (SAP), student worksheet (LKM), and assessment instrument of critical thinking and problem-solving, had been developed to collect the data. The supporting learning instruments were deemed valid and reliable. The evaluation set consisted of 15 essay questions. The critical thinking assessment rubric was adapted from Ennis (1993) and the problem-solving rubric was adapted from Pólya (2004). The Pearson's Product Moment was used to test the validity of the instruments, while Cronbach's Alpha was used to test the reliability (Klassen et al., 2012). The research phase was 1) conducting pretest in three experimental groups to collect students' initial ability of critical thinking and problem-solving, 2) applying different learning models in three groups: CS-PBL in the experimental group, PBL adapted from Schmidt et al., (2009), in control positive group, and direct learning in control negative group, 3) conducting posttest in three groups to find out the enhancement of students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills. The categories of critical thinking and problem-solving score are 90-100 (excellent), 80-89 (good), 70-79 (moderate), 60-69 (poor), while <60 (very poor) (Surif et al., 2012). The data were tested for normality using One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov and tested for homogeneity using Levene's test. It was followed by ANCOVA and Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. The effectiveness was tested using normalized gain (g) and the

categories are <40 (ineffective), 40-55 (less effective), 56-75 (sufficiently effective), >76 (effective) (Hake, 2002).

Learning steps implemented in the experimental group by applying the CS-PBL model (Amanda et al., 2022) are presented in Table 2.

Table 2

The Steps of Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning (CS-PBL) Learning Model

Results

The CS-PBL, PBL, and direct learning were implemented in each group for 14 meetings. The results of Ancova analysis on the effect of the learning model on the students' critical thinking skills can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3

The Results of the ANCOVA Analysis (Critical Thinking Skills)

Table 3 shows the information on the different learning models ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 92.968$ with p-value = 0.000, p-value < ($\alpha=0.05$)). Therefore, the hypothesis that the learning model affects students' critical thinking skills is accepted. After the hypothesis was proven, the LSD test was performed, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4*The Increase of Students' Critical Thinking Skills*

The biggest improvement of critical thinking skills percentage was shown by the CS-PBL group, followed by the PBL group and direct learning. The improvement percentage is 50.37% (effective), 38.12% (less effective), and 21.09 (ineffective). The result analysis of students' critical thinking skills improvement is presented in Table 5.

Table 5*Result Analysis of Critical Thinking Skills for Each Indicator*

CS-PBL learning model trains students to think comprehensively, particularly during the problem-solving process in their neighborhood. The Ancova analysis result of the effect learning model on students' problem-solving skills can be seen in Table 6.

Table 6*The Results of the ANCOVA Analysis (Problem Solving)*

Table 6 presents information about the difference between the learning models ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 124.512$ with $p\text{-value} = 0.000$, $p\text{-value} < (\alpha=0.05)$). Therefore, the hypothesis that the learning model affects students' problem-solving skills was accepted. After the hypothesis was proven, the LSD test was performed, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7*The Increase of Students' Problem-Solving Skills*

The data shows that the highest improvement of students' problem-solving skills at 79.30% with effective N-gain is achieved by the experimental group that applied CS-PBL. PBL group sees an increase in problem-solving skills at 49.04% included in the less effective category, while the direct learning group is in an ineffective category with a 28.52% increase. The result analysis of students' problem-solving skills for each indicator is presented in Table 8.

Table 8*Result Analysis of Problem-Solving Skills for Each Indicator*

CS-PBL group achieved the highest problem-solving indicators, particularly in carrying out a plan with 88.2 that falls in the high category. The categories of devise a plan, understand the problem, and look back over the result fell in the high category with 83.9, 83.4, and 82.4 consecutively. The group that implemented PBL accomplished the highest score in carry out a plan indicator with a score of 59.7 that fell in the high category. The direct learning group attained the highest score in carry out a plan with a score of 59.7, included in the very poor category. Based on the analysis of problem-solving for each indicator, it could be concluded that the highest score is earned by the CS-PBL group.

Discussion

The main objective of the CS-PBL learning model is to train students' critical thinking skills to solve problems. Problems given to them are real everyday problems that they often face. CS-PBL learning model offers problems that are close to students' daily life. Those problems are open and complex that enable students to create ideas to solve them. Complex problems effectively train high-order thinking skills and support systematic thinking habits (Kuzle, 2015). Real-life problems include complex biological problems (Dev, 2015; Zhiwei et al., 2017). Biological problems involve complicated systems, such as (a) problems in a system with various variables in it, (b) connection and dependence between variables that build a system, and (c) the level of analysis in problem-solving (Dörner & Funke, 2017; Mañayan, 2017) CS-PBL learning process could help students learn biological systems thoroughly. CS approach is an approach to learn complex systems focused on the interactions between components that make a system (Thompson et al., 2016). By giving open and complex problems, students are allowed to analyze problems from various points of view and provide logical arguments to support their ideas (Wüstenberg et al., 2012).

The improvement of critical thinking and problem-solving skills is the result of CS-PBL model implementation. The first syntax of the CS-PBL model is the problem orientation to acknowledge the problem students are about to face, analyze, and solve. The first syntax helps students focus on given problems while also becomes the critical thinking skills indicator. Problem orientation activity also supports students' problem-solving skills, especially in the

indicator of understanding the problem. Students are asked to read and understand the problems given in the students' worksheet. Theoretically, the problem-solving process starts with understanding the problems in their surroundings (contextual problems) (Fischer et al., 2012). The problem orientation is the fundamental activity to create the systematic, critical, and scientific mindsets that would lead to critical thinking patterns (Peterson, 1997; Vázquez-Alonso & Manassero-Mas, 2011). Problem orientation activity is a process that involves a cognitive scheme displaying how someone deals with such a problem in general (E. C. Chang & Díaz-Zurilla, 1996). Bad problem orientation may hinder the problem-solving process and create irrational solutions (Sahin, 2010).

The second syntax of the CS-PBL model is to organize students to learn. With this activity, they manage and oversee the references needed for the problem-solving process. They look for relevant information, facts, causes, and disease symptoms provided by students' worksheets. One of the activities of the second syntax is reading. It is a complex process that needs memorizing and reflecting on the previous memory (Clark et al., 2021; Reynolds & Goodwin, 2016). Reading encourages students to plan and understand the material (Hattan & Alexander, 2018; Kasperski et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2021). Reading also trains students to develop their thinking skills and support their learning effectiveness (Locher et al., 2021; Usta et al., 2020).

The third and fifth syntaxes facilitate students to connect the information they gather from literature study and interview by drawing a mind map. Both syntaxes also train students to connect a specific problem with various disciplines needed during the problem-solving process. One of the objectives of mind map making is to help students think more effectively and systematically in understanding a specific problem and connecting the concepts and information they gather to create solutions to solve the problem (Miranti & Wilujeng, 2018). In line with research conducted by Chang et al., (2018) the mind map-making activity could combine and integrate information to find the solution for the existing problem. The efforts to solve complex problems in daily life with consideration to various disciplines would train students to comprehend the problems from several points of view (Hiong & Osman, 2015).

21st-century biology strives for a CS approach based on cross disciplines, such as socio-culture, technics, computing, physics, chemistry, and mathematics to solve complex problems, mainly related to health, food, energy, and environment (Osman et al., 2013). The mind map technique connects basic knowledge to more complex knowledge that may develop critical thinking skills (Wang et al., 2010) precisely clarity and overview. Students learn to connect data or information they gather from reading sources with the information they get from experts. It results in students could learn to think comprehensively to connect two sources to gain rational solutions (Kokotovich, 2008).

The fourth syntax requires students to discuss with the experts of issues they try to solve. The objective is to acquire quality solutions for the problems they face that are applicable in a real-life situation. The fourth syntax develops students' critical thinking skills, particularly in clarifying and devising a plan. In this study, students were asked to discuss with medical personnel. With the expertise of an expert, students could verify any information they get from sources they read (Nokes-Malach et al., 2012). This fourth syntax activity is in line with Chesters (2004) who described that one of the ways to solve complex problems is by collaborating with the experts of such issues. One of the collaboration results with experts is that students could collect facts that lead them to the best solution (Nokes-Malach et al., 2012).

The fourth syntax allows students to learn social interaction. They build understanding through their involvement during problem-solving activities while interacting with people beyond their school circle (Graesser et al., 2018). A study conducted by Howard et al (2016) revealed that the interactions between students and experts promote solutions and ideas creation that may lead to the development of their critical thinking skills. Through social interaction, students could gain learning experiences to see problems from various

perspectives (Fischer et al., 2012). Vieira & Tenreiro-Vieira (2016) discovered that the fundamental step to grow students' critical thinking skills is developing learning experiences. Through the ages, environmental problems get more complicated and desperately need experts from various disciplines with experience and expertise to find solutions (Care et al., 2016). The CS-PBL learning focuses on finding solutions that need the integration of concepts from relevant disciplines. CS-PBL learning is based on the complexity science approach that emphasizes that all disciplines cannot stand alone. They are mutually connected to assist human beings in understanding the life system and help face problems in nature (Muhammad, 2016).

The sixth syntax of the CS-PBL learning model is the presentation of ideas to support the development of problem-solving skills, specifically for the indicator of look back over the result. The selected groups are asked to present their work in PowerPoint. This discussion activity aims to develop communication ability and help students strengthen and widen their knowledge (Morphew et al., 2020; Pizà Mir, 2021). After the presentation, the students hold a question-and-answer session. The sixth syntax activity of the CS-PBL learning model could train the indicator of critical thinking skills, especially basic clarification. Etkina & Planinčić (2015) found out that students could provide argumentation through group discussions. One of the aspects of problem-solving decision-making is analyzing feedback from other individuals (Sousa et al., 2019).

The last syntax of the CS-PBL learning model is evaluation. The primary purpose of this activity is to evaluate the work of the groups conducted through peer assessment (Morales-Mann & Kaitell, 2001). This method is applied as it could significantly affect students when they receive feedback from their counterparts during the learning process. It could develop their self-confidence when working in a group, promote the thinking process, offer a transparent assessment process (Papinczak et al., 2007) and reduce students' passiveness during learning activities (Kritikos et al., 2011).

Conclusion

Complexity Science-Problem Based Learning (CS-PBL) learning model could promote critical thinking and problem-solving skills during the era of post covid-19 pandemic learning. The percentage of critical thinking skills development is 50.37% (sufficiently effective), and the development of problem-solving skills is 79.30 (sufficiently effective). Implementing the CS-PBL learning model is recommended for learning to facilitate the training of students' thinking skills, specifically developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia that support research fundings Number 18.367/UN32.14.1/LT/2021. We would also express our appreciation for LP2M UM, validators, and students involved in this research.

References

Abbasi, S., Ayoob, T., Malik, A., & Memon, S. I. (2020). Perceptions of students regarding E-learning during Covid-19 at a private medical college. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 36(COVID19-S4), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2766>

- Al-Balas, M., Al-Balas, H. I., Jaber, H. M., Obeidat, K., Al-Balas, H., Aborajoo, E. A., Al-Taher, R., & Al-Balas, B. (2020). Distance learning in clinical medical education amid COVID-19 pandemic in Jordan: Current situation, challenges, and perspectives. *BMC Medical Education*, *20*(341), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02257-4>
- Alsoufi, A., Alsuyihili, A., Msherghi, A., Elhadi, A., Atiyah, H., Ashini, A., Ashwieb, A., Ghula, M., Ben Hasan, H., Abudabuos, S., Alameen, H., Abokhdhir, T., Anaiba, M., Nagib, T., Shuwayyah, A., Benothman, R., Arrefae, G., Alkhwayildi, A., Alhadi, A., Elhadi, M. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical education: Medical students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding electronic learning. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(11), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242905>
- Amanda, F. F., Sumitro, S. B., Lestari, S. R., & Ibrohim. (2022). Developing complexity science-problem based learning model to enhance conceptual mastery. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLean)*, *16*(1), 65–75. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v16i1.20408>
- Anderson, R. A., Crabtree, B. F., Steele, D. J., & McDaniel, R. R. (2005). Case Study Research: The View From Complexity Science. *Qualitative Health Research*, *15*(5), 669–685. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305275208>
- Astriani, D., Susilo, H., Suwono, H., Lukiati, B., & Purnomo, A. R. (2020). Mind Mapping in Learning Models: A Tool to Improve Student Metacognitive Skills. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, *15*(6), 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i06.12657>
- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2006). Research in education (10th ed). Pearson/Allyn and Bacon. https://www.academia.edu/5382594/Research_in_Education_Tenth_Edition
- Bezanilla, M.-J., Galindo-Domínguez, H., & Poblete, M. (2021). Importance and possibilities of development of critical thinking in the university: The teacher's perspective. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research*, *11*(1), 20–48. <https://doi.org/10.17583/remie.0.6159>
- Braßler, M. (2016). Interdisciplinary Problem-Based Learning—A Student-Centered Pedagogy to Teach Social Sustainable Development in Higher Education. In W. Leal Filho & P. Pace (Eds.), *Teaching Education for Sustainable Development at University Level* (pp. 245–257). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32928-4_17
- Care, E., Scoular, C., & Griffin, P. (2016). Assessment of Collaborative Problem Solving in Education Environments. *Applied Measurement in Education*, *29*(4), 250–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08957347.2016.1209204>
- Chang, E. C., & D'Zurilla, T. J. (1996). Relations between problem orientation and optimism, pessimism, and trait affectivity: A construct validation study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *34*(2), 185–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(95\)00046-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(95)00046-1)
- Chang, J.-H., Chiu, P.-S., & Huang, Y.-M. (2018). A Sharing Mind Map-oriented Approach to Enhance Collaborative Mobile Learning With Digital Archiving Systems. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *19*(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v19i1.3168>
- Chesters, G. (2004). Global Complexity and Global Civil Society. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, *15*(4), 323–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-004-1235-9>
- Chin, C., & Chia, L.-G. (2006). Problem-based learning: Using ill-structured problems in biology project work. *Science Education*, *90*(1), 44–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20097>
- Clark, S. K., Smith, L. K., Judd, E., & Rosdahl, V. (2021). Using Disciplinary Literacy to Teach Children to Write Science Informational Text. *Reading Psychology*, *57*(3), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2021.1888353>
- Coman, C., Țîru, L. G., Meseşan-Schmitz, L., Stanciu, C., & Bularca, M. C. (2020). Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education during the Coronavirus Pandemic: Students'

- Perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410367>
- Davis, B., & Simmt, E. (2003). Understanding Learning Systems: Mathematics Education and Complexity Science. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 34(2), 137–167. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30034903>
- Dev, S. B. (2015). Unsolved problems in biology—The state of current thinking. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 117(2–3), 232–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2015.02.001>
- Docktor, J. L., Strand, N. E., Mestre, J. P., & Ross, B. H. (2015). Conceptual problem solving in high school physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 11(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.11.020106>
- Doleck, T., Bazelais, P., Lemay, D. J., Saxena, A., & Basnet, R. B. (2017). Algorithmic thinking, cooperativity, creativity, critical thinking, and problem solving: Exploring the relationship between computational thinking skills and academic performance. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 4(4), 355–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-017-0090-9>
- Dörner, D., & Funke, J. (2017). Complex Problem Solving: What It Is and What It Is Not. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1153. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01153>
- Dube, B. (2020). Rural online learning in the context of COVID 19 in South Africa: Evoking an inclusive education approach. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research*, 10(2), Art. 2. <https://doi.org/10.17583/remie.2020.5607>
- El-Seoud, A. M. S., Taj-Eddin, I. A. T. F., Seddiek, N., El-Khouly, M. M., & Nosseir, A. (2014). E-Learning and Students—Motivation: A Research Study on the Effect of E-Learning on Higher Education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 9(4), 20–26. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v9i4.3465>
- Ennis, R. H. (1993). Critical thinking assessment. *Theory Into Practice*, 32(3), 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849309543594>
- Etkina, E., & Planinčić, G. (2015). Defining and Developing —Critical Thinking— Through Devising and Testing Multiple Explanations of the Same Phenomenon. *The Physics Teacher*, 53(7), 432–437. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4931014>
- Fischer, A., Greiff, S., & Funke, J. (2012). The Process of Solving Complex Problems. *The Journal of Problem Solving*, 4(1), 19–42. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1932-6246.1118>
- Fortunka, K. B. (2020). Factors affecting human health in the modern world. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport*, 10(4), 75–81. <https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2020.10.04.009>
- Garad, A., Al-Ansi, A. M., & Qamari, I. N. (2021). The Role Of E-Learning Infrastructure And Cognitive Competence In Distance Learning Effectiveness During The Covid-19 Pandemic. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 40(1), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v40i1.33474>
- Ge, X., Law, V., & Huang, K. (2016). Detangling the Interrelationships Between Self-Regulation and Ill-Structured Problem Solving in Problem-Based Learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 10(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1622>
- Gewurtz, R. E., Coman, L., Dhillon, S., Jung, B., & Solomon, P. (2016). Problem-based Learning and Theories of Teaching and Learning in Health Professional Education. *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, 4(1), 59–70. <https://doi.org/10.14297/jpaap.v4i1.194>
- Graesser, A. C., Fiore, S. M., Greiff, S., Andrews-Todd, J., Foltz, P. W., & Hesse, F. W. (2018). Advancing the Science of Collaborative Problem Solving. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 19(2), 59–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100618808244>
- Hake, R. R. (2002). Relationship of Individual Student Normalized Learning Gains in Mechanics with Gender, High-School Physics, and Pretest Scores on Mathematics and Spatial Visualization. *Physics Education Research Conference*. <https://web.physics.indiana.edu/hake/PERC2002h-Hake.pdf>

- Hasan, N., & Bao, Y. (2020). Impact of e-Learning crack-up perception on psychological distress among college students during COVID-19 pandemic: A mediating role of fear of academic year loss. *Children and Youth Services Review, 118*(4), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105355>
- Hattan, C., & Alexander, P. (2018). Scaffolding Reading Comprehension for Competent Readers. *Literacy Research: Theory, Method, and Practice, 67*(1), 296-309. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2381336918786885>
- Hernández, J.-M., Reyes, A., Dueñas, J.-M., Merchán-Merchán, M., & López, G.-D. (2021). Project-Based Learning in Teaching the Safe Management of Pesticides in a Rural Community. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research, 11*(2), Art. 2. <https://doi.org/10.17583/remie.0.6794>
- Hiong, L. C., & Osman, K. (2015). An Interdisciplinary Approach for Biology, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (BTEM) to Enhance 21st Century Skills in Malaysia. *K12 STEM Education, 1* (3 (July-September)), 137-147. <https://doi.org/10.14456/K12STEMED.2015.25>
- Hoffmann, M., & Borenstein, J. (2014). Understanding Ill-Structured Engineering Ethics Problems Through a Collaborative Learning and Argument Visualization Approach. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 20*(1), 261-276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-013-9430-y>
- Howard, M., Steensma, H. K., Lyles, M., & Dhanaraj, C. (2016). Learning to collaborate through collaboration: How allying with expert firms influences collaborative innovation within novice firms. *Strategic Management Journal, 37*(10), 2092-2103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2424>
- Jacobson, M. J., Levin, J. A., & Kapur, M. (2019). Education as a Complex System: Conceptual and Methodological Implications. *Educational Researcher, 48*(2), 112-119. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X19826958>
- Kasperski, R., Shany, M., & Katzir, T. (2016). The role of RAN and reading rate in predicting reading self-concept. *Reading and Writing, 29*(1), 117-136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-015-9582-z>
- Kim, J. S., Burkhauser, M. A., Mesite, L. M., Asher, C. A., Relyea, J. E., Fitzgerald, J., & Elmore, J. (2021). Improving reading comprehension, science domain knowledge, and reading engagement through a first-grade content literacy intervention. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 113*(1), 3-26. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000465>
- Klassen, A. C., Creswell, J., Clark, V. L. P., Smith, K. C., & Meissner, H. I. (2012). Best practices in mixed methods for quality of life research. *Quality of Life Research, 21*(3), 377-380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-012-0122-x>
- Kokotovich, V. (2008). Problem analysis and thinking tools: An empirical study of non-hierarchical mind mapping. *Design Studies, 29*(1), 49-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2007.09.001>
- Kritikos, V. S., Woulfe, J., Sukkar, M. B., & Saini, B. (2011). Intergroup Peer Assessment in Problem-Based Learning Tutorials for Undergraduate Pharmacy Students. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 75*(4), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe75473>
- Kusumawati, W. (2012). Problem Based Learning (PBL) dalam KBK dan Pencapaian Prestasi Akademik: Evaluasi Implementasi PBL. *4*(1), 30-38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.26532/sainsmed.v4i1>
- Kuzle, A. (2015). Problem solving as an instructional method: The use of open problems in technology problem solving instruction. *Lumat: International Journal of Math, Science and Technology Education, 3*(1), 69-86. <https://doi.org/10.31129/lumat.v3i1.1052>
- Locher, F. M., Becker, S., Schiefer, I., & Pfof, M. (2021). Mechanisms mediating the relation between reading self-concept and reading comprehension. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 36*(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-020-00463-8>

- Mañayan, A. (2017). Complex systems biology. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, *14*(134), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2017.0391>
- Martinho, D., Sobreiro, P., & Vardasca, R. (2021). Teaching Sentiment in Emergency Online Learning—A Conceptual Model. *Education Sciences*, *11*(53), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11020053>
- Mennin, S. (2007). Small-group problem-based learning as a complex adaptive system. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *23*(3), 303–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.12.016>
- Miner-Romanoff, K., Rae, A., & Zakrzewski, C. E. (2019). A Holistic and Multifaceted Model for Ill-Structured Experiential Problem-Based Learning: Enhancing Student Critical Thinking and Communication Skills. *Journal of Problem Based Learning in Higher Education*, *7*(1), 70–96. <https://doi.org/10.5278/ojs.jpblhe.v7i1.3341>
- Miranti, M. G., & Wilujeng, B. Y. (2018). Creative Thinking Skills Enhancement Using Mind Mapping. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Social, Applied Science and Technology in Home Economics (ICONHOMECES 2017). 1st International Conference on Social, Applied Science and Technology in Home Economics (ICONHOMECES 2017), Surabaya, Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.2991/iconhomecs-17.2018.9>
- Mishra, L., Gupta, T., & Shree, A. (2020). Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, *1*, 100012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100012>
- Morales-Mann, E. T., & Kaitell, C. A. (2001). Problem-based learning in a new Canadian curriculum. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *33*(1), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.01633.x>
- Morphew, J. W., Gladding, G. E., & Mestre, J. P. (2020). Effect of presentation style and problem-solving attempts on metacognition and learning from solution videos. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, *16*(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.16.010104>
- Muhammad, N. (2016). Pendekatan Integrasi-Interkoneksi dalam Pendidikan PAUD. *Golden Age: Jurnal Ilmiah Tumbuh Kembang Anak Usia Dini*, *1*(1), Art. 1. <https://doi.org/10.14421/jga.2016.11-05>
- Nepal, S., Atreya, A., Menezes, R. G., & Joshi, R. R. (2020). Students' Perspective on Online Medical Education Amidst The COVID-19 Pandemic in Nepal. *Journal of Nepal Health Research Council*, *18*(3), 551–555. <https://doi.org/10.33314/jnhrc.v18i3.2851>
- Nokes-Malach, T. J., Meade, M. L., & Morrow, D. G. (2012). The effect of expertise on collaborative problem solving. *Thinking & Reasoning*, *18*(1), 32–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13546783.2011.642206>
- Osman, K., Hiong, L. C., & Vebrianto, R. (2013). 21st Century Biology: An Interdisciplinary Approach of Biology, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *102*, 188–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.732>
- O'Sullivan, D. (2004). Complexity science and human geography. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, *29*(3), 282–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0020-2754.2004.00321.x>
- Papinczak, T., Young, L., Groves, M., & Haynes, M. (2007). An analysis of peer, self, and tutor assessment in problem-based learning tutorials. *Medical Teacher*, *29*(5), e122–e132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590701294323>
- Peter, E. E. (2012). Critical thinking: Essence for teaching mathematics and mathematics problem solving skills. *African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Research*, *5*(3), 39–43. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMCSR11.161>
- Peterson, M. (1997). Skills to Enhance Problem-based Learning. *Medical Education Online*, *2*(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3402/meo.v2i.4289>

- Pizà Mir, B. (2021). *Tendencias En El Currículo Español De Biología Y Geología En Educación Primaria Y Secundaria Según La Taxonomía Revisada De Bloom. Una Reflexión Para La Formación Del Profesorado*. Dykinson, S.L. (Madrid, España), 452-466.
- Pólya, G. (2004). *How to solve it: A new aspect of mathematical method* (Expanded Princeton Science Library ed). Princeton University Press.
- Qing-Ke, F., Chi-Jen, L., Gwo-Jen, H., & Lixin, Z. (2019). Impacts of a mind mapping-based contextual gaming approach on EFL students' writing performance, learning perceptions and generative uses in an English course. *Computers & Education, 137*, 59-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.04.005>
- Reynolds, D., & Goodwin, A. (2016). Supporting Students Reading Complex Texts: Evidence for Motivational Scaffolding. *AERA Open, 2*(4), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858416680353>
- Rott, B. (2020). Teachers' Behaviors, Epistemological Beliefs, and Their Interplay in Lessons on the Topic of Problem Solving. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 18*(5), 903-924. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-09993-0>
- Safrina, Saminan, & Hasan, M. (2015). Pengaruh Penerapan Model Problem Based Learning (PBL) Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Dan Pemahaman Siswa Pada Materi Zat Kimia Dalam Makanan Pada Siswa Kelas VIII MTsN Meureudu. 3(1), 186-194. <http://www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id/JPSI/article/view/7665/6286>
- Sahin, M. (2010). The impact of problem-based learning on engineering students' beliefs about physics and conceptual understanding of energy and momentum. *European Journal of Engineering Education, 35*(5), 519-537. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2010.487149>
- Schmidt, H. G., Cohen-Schotanus, J., & Arends, L. R. (2009). Impact of problem-based, active learning on graduation rates for 10 generations of Dutch medical students. *Medical Education, 43*(3), 211-218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03287.x>
- Seibert, S. A. (2021). Problem-based learning: A strategy to foster generation Z's critical thinking and perseverance. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing, 16*(1), 85-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2020.09.002>
- Simanjuntak, M. P., Hutahaean, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, D. (2021). Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning Combined with Computer Simulation on Students' Problem-Solving and Creative Thinking Skills. *International Journal of Instruction, 14*(3), 519-534. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14330a>
- Singh, K., Srivastav, S., Bhardwaj, A., Dixit, A., & Misra, S. (2020). Medical Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Single Institution Experience. *Indian Pediatrics, 57*(7), 678-679. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-020-1899-2>
- Sobaih, A. E. E., Hasanein, A. M., & Elnasr, A. E. A. (2020). Responses to COVID-19 in Higher Education: Social Media Usage for Sustaining Formal Academic Communication in Developing Countries. *Sustainability, 12*(6520), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12166520>
- Soni, V. D. (2020). Global Impact of E-learning during COVID 19. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3630073>
- Sousa, M. J., Martins, J. M., & Sousa, M. (2019). Decision-Making processes for effective problem solving to potentiate organisation sustainability. *European Journal of Workplace Innovation, 5*(1), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.46364/ejwi.v5i1.593>
- Stylianou, K. S., Heller, M. C., Fulgoni, V. L., Ernstoff, A. S., Keoleian, G. A., & Jolliet, O. (2016). A life cycle assessment framework combining nutritional and environmental health impacts of diet: A case study on milk. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 21*(5), 734-746. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-015-0961-0>
- Suk, W. A., Ahanchian, H., Asante, K. A., Carpenter, D. O., Diaz-Barriga, F., Ha, E.-H., Huo, X., King, M., Ruchirawat, M., da Silva, E. R., Sly, L., Sly, P. D., Stein, R. T., van den Berg, M., Zar, H., & Landrigan, P. J. (2016). Environmental Pollution: An Under-recognized

- Threat to Children's Health, Especially in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(3), 41-45. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510517>
- Surif, J., Ibrahim, N. H., & Mokhtar, M. (2012). Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge in Problem Solving. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 56, 416-425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.671>
- Tawfik, A. A., Kim, K., & Msilu, F. (2018). How Success Versus Failure Cases Support Knowledge Construction in Collaborative Problem-Solving. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 57(4), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633118799750>
- Thompson, D. S., Fazio, X., Kustra, E., Patrick, L., & Stanley, D. (2016). Scoping review of complexity theory in health services research. *BMC Health Services Research*, 16(87), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1343-4>
- Turner, J. R., & Baker, R. M. (2019). Complexity Theory: An Overview with Potential Applications for the Social Sciences. *Systems*, 7(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems7010004>
- Usta, D. N., Ültay, E., & Ültay, N. (2020). Reading the Concept Map of Physics Teacher Candidates: A Case of Light. *Science Education International*, 31(1), 14-21. <https://doi.org/10.33828/sei.v31.i1.2>
- Vázquez-Alonso, Â., & Manassero-Mas, M. A. (2011). El descenso de las actitudes hacia la ciencia de chicos y chicas en la educación obligatoria. *Ciência & Educação (Bauru)*, 17(2), 249-268. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-73132011000200001>
- Vieira, R. M., & Tenreiro-Vieira, C. (2016). Fostering Scientific Literacy and Critical Thinking in Elementary Science Education. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(4), 659-680. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9605-2>
- Wang, W.-C., Lee, C.-C., & Chu, Y.-C. (2010). A Brief Review on Developing Creative Thinking in Young Children by Mind Mapping. *International Business Research*, 3(3), 233-238. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v3n3p233>
- Wilujeng, I., Tadeko, N., & Dwandaru, W. S. B. (2020). WEBSITE-BASED TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE FOR LEARNING PREPARATION OF SCIENCE TEACHERS. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 39(3), 545-559. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v39i3.31228>
- Wulandari, R., Santri, D. J., & Zen, D. (2014). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) Pada Pembelajaran Biologi Di SMA Negeri 14 Palembang. 1(1), 46-53. <https://doi.org/10.36706/fpbio.v1i1.1164>
- Wüstenberg, S., Greiff, S., & Funke, J. (2012). Complex problem solving—More than reasoning? *Intelligence*, 40(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2011.11.003>
- Yuliana, I., Kusairi, S., Taufiq, A., Priyadi, R., & Rosyidah, N. D. (2020). The analysis of students' problem-solving ability in the 5E learning cycle with formative e-assessment. *AIP Publishing*, 2215 (1), 050015. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0000751>
- Zhiwei, J., Ke, Y., Wenyang, L., Haigen, H., & Xiaoliang, Z. (2017). Mathematical and Computational Modeling in Complex Biological Systems. *BioMed Research International*, 2017(3), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5958321>